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Nucleophilic displacements of 5(6)-anti-bromo substituents in 2-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexanes (methanopyrrolidines) have been accomplished. These displacements have produced 5-anti-X-6-anti-Y-difunctionalized-2-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexanes containing bromo, fluoro, acetoxy, hydroxy, azido, imidazole, thiophenyl, and iodo substituents. Such displacements of anti-bromide ions require an amine nitrogen and are a function of the solvent and the choice of metal salt. Reaction rates were faster and product yields were higher in DMSO when compared to DMF and with CsOAc compared to NaOAc . Sodium or lithium salts gave products, except with NaF, where silver fluoride in nitromethane was best for substitution by fluoride. The presence of electron-withdrawing F, OAc, $\mathrm{N}_{3}$, Br , or SPh substituents in the 6-anti-position slows bromide displacements at the 5-anti-position.

## Introduction

Pyrrolidines 1, especially those with hydroxy, ${ }^{1}$ amino, ${ }^{2}$ fluoro, ${ }^{3}$ or thio ${ }^{4}$ substituents in a 1,2 -relationship $\beta$ to the nitrogen atom, are a valuable source of biologically significant molecules. One strategy in the search for new bioactive molecules is to incorporate key pharmacophoric units into

[^0]inflexible structures. ${ }^{5-7}$ Viewed in this light, methanobridged pyrrolidines 2 (2-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexanes) that display their functionalities in defined spatial orientations are of interest. Such molecules may prove to be valuable scaffolds for incorporation into proteins, ${ }^{8}$ for drug discovery, or for other purposes. ${ }^{3 \mathrm{~b}}$ To realize this potential there is a need for practical methods to introduce a diverse array of heteroatom substituents onto these structures. ${ }^{9}$


2
a $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{OH} \quad \mathrm{dX}=\mathrm{SH}$
b $X=\mathrm{NH}_{2} \quad$ e $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{COOH}$
$\mathbf{c} X=F \quad f X=B r$
$R=$ hydrogen, carboxyl, aldehyde, or hydroxymethyl

Heteroatoms at $\mathrm{C}_{5}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right)$ of N -acyl-2-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexanes have been introduced by rearrangement routes ( $\mathrm{X}=\operatorname{syn}$ - or
anti-halogen, hydroxyl), ${ }^{10}$ nucleophilic ring closure of cyclobutanes $(\mathrm{X}=\operatorname{syn}-\mathrm{SePh}){ }^{6 \mathrm{a}}$ or a thermal $2+2$ cycloaddition $(\mathrm{X} /$ $\mathrm{H}=$ difluoride). ${ }^{11}$ There are a few examples of N -acyl mono-heteroatom-substituted anti-5-hydroxy-2-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexanes $2 \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{OH})$ formed by reductive dehalogenation of 5-anti,6-anti-bromohydrins. ${ }^{3 \mathrm{~B}, 10 \mathrm{a}, 10 \mathrm{~d}}$

Recently, we described the preparation of N -BOC-5-synand 5-anti-carboxy-2-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexanes $2 \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{COOH}$ ), isolated mainly as the syn-5-carboxy isomers, ${ }^{12}$ and their use for introduction of heteroatom functionality into this ring system. ${ }^{2 c}$ The Curtius rearrangement was especially useful for the stereospecific conversion of 5 -syn- and 5-anti-acids to the corresponding 5-syn- and

[^1]5-anti-amines, isolated as their carbamates $\mathbf{2 b}[\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{NHCOOBn}(\mathrm{Et})]$. The major 5 -syn-carboxylic acid $\mathbf{2 e}$ has been used to introduce other 5-heteroatoms by radical decarboxylative substitutions of either the acid or its Barton ester. Reactions led to mainly 5 -syn-chloro, 5 -syn-bromo, 5-syn-iodo, and 5-syn-pyridylthioether substitution products admixed with only minor amounts of the 5-anti isomers $(0-11 \%)$; an exception was the iodide, which gave $17-44 \%$ 5-anti-isomer. Yields were generally poor, and this nonstereospecific method is not of general utility as a source of halide or thioether substitution. The rearrangement route remains the most useful for introduction of 5-anti-hydroxy and 5-anti-bromine groups as in 2a and 2e. Thus, methods to displace these substituents by other nucleophiles are welcome.

Nucleophilic substitution reactions of 5-tosylbicyclo[2.1.1]hexanes, the parent carbon bicycle of structures 2, provide insights into the reactivity of 5 -substituents in this strained ring system. The substitution reactions of 5 -synsubstituents occur fairly easily and proceed with retention of configuration but are accompanied by rearrangement products. The syn-5-alcohol 3a and phosphorus tribromide afforded a product assigned as the 5 -syn-bromide $\mathbf{3 b}$ ( $17 \%$ ) admixed with some 4-bromocyclohexene, while syn-5-tosylate 3 c reacts with tetrabutylammonium chloride at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 29 h to afford a product assigned as 5 -syn-chloro[2.1.1]hexane 3d ( $43 \%$ ) with retained stereochemistry. ${ }^{13 a}$ Both of these products are suggestive of neighboring group participation by the neighboring methanobridge. ${ }^{13 \mathrm{~b}}$ On the other hand, nucleophilic displacement of 5-anti-substituents, our goal, is more difficult. The syn-tosylate $3 \mathbf{c}$ reacted at a rate $3 \times 10^{6}$ time that of the 5 -anti-tosylate $4 .^{13 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}}$ To induce a reasonable rate for acetic acid solvolysis of the anti-tosylate 4, a temperature of $164^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was required. More importantly, none of the products retained the bicyclo[2.1.1]hexane structure. Acetolysis of $\mathbf{4}$ produced 4-cyclohexenyl tosylate ( $80 \%$ ), 4-cyclohexenyl acetate ( $8 \%$ ), and bicyclo[3.1.0]hex-2-yl acetate (8\%) as the major products. ${ }^{13 \mathrm{c}}$


Nevertheless, there are two examples of nucleophilic displacement reactions of 5 -anti-substituents in N -acyl-2azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexanes by fluoride in which products have been isolated that maintain the integrity of the heterobicyclic structure. The conversion of alcohol 5a to fluoride $\mathbf{6 a}$ was carried out using bis(2-methoxyethyl)aminosulfur trifluoride [BAST or Deoxo-Fluor] in refluxing methylene chloride ( $63 \%$ ) (eq 1). ${ }^{3 \mathrm{~b}}$ Limited success was observed with the replacement of the 5 -anti-iodo substituent of $\mathbf{5 b}$ by fluoride using $\mathrm{AgF} /$ nitromethane at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 4 \mathrm{~h}$ to give $\mathbf{6 b}$ ( $19 \%$ ) (eq 2). ${ }^{2 \mathrm{c}}$ Retention of stereochemistry was observed in both cases of displacement reactions of $\mathrm{C}_{5}$-anti-substituents. We have not been successful in nucleophilic displacements of

5-anti-bromo substituents in N -acyl-5-anti-bromo-2-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexanes (see Supporting Information).


5a $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{COOMe}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{OH}$
5b $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{Ac}=\mathrm{BOC}$


6a $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{COOMe}$
6b $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ac}=\mathrm{BOC}$

It occurred to us that replacement of the $N$-acyl substituent by an $N$-alkyl group might facilitate nucleophilic substitution reactions. Malpass and White ${ }^{14}$ have shown that a free amine can facilitate displacements in a normally slowreacting 7 -norbornyl position. ${ }^{13}$ The 7 -bromo group in $N$-benzyl-anti-7-bromo-2-azabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane 7 was displaced by various nucleophiles at $100-110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in DMF to give products 8 . Only products with retained stereochemistry were observed, presumably the result of neighboring group participation. ${ }^{14}$


The key objective of this work was to see if replacement of $N$-acyl groups by $N$-benzyl in readily available 5-anti-bro-mo- and 5-anti-,6-anti-dibromo-2-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexanes would allow for displacement of the bromine atom by useful heteroatom nucleophiles. ${ }^{15}$ Specifically, dibromide 9, monobromide 10, and fluorobromide $\mathbf{1 1}$ have been chosen as substrates. We now describe conditions that enable preparation of 5(6)-anti-substituted-2-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexanes with halogen-, nitrogen-, sulfur-, and oxygen-containing groups X starting from these bromides. Since preparation of 5-antibromides with compatible substituents at other ring positions is feasible, ${ }^{3 \mathrm{~b}, 9,10}$ the functional group modifications described for these bromides should prove useful in the preparation of more highly functionalized 5(6)-substituted-2-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexanes 2. Some of these structures can be precursors of methanoprolines $2(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{COOH}) ;{ }^{3 \mathrm{~b}, 16}$ we especially desired to prepare novel 5,6-dihydroxy-, 5,6-di-fluoro-, 5,6-diamino-, and mixed 5,6-hydroxyfluorides that are not available by other synthetic routes. ${ }^{7 \mathrm{~b}}$

## Results and Discussion

The requisite $N$-benzyl dibromides $\mathbf{9 - 1 1}$ were prepared from the $N$-alkoxycarbonyl dihydropyridine photoproduct

[^2]
## SCHEME 1. Synthesis of $N$-Benzylbromides



## SCHEME 2. Reactions of Dibromide 9



12 (Scheme 1). ${ }^{7 \mathrm{~b}}$ The known dibromide $\mathbf{1 3}^{\mathbf{7 b}}$ was selectively monodebrominated using (TMS) 3 SiH/toluene $/ 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give monobromide $14(74 \%)$. Conversion of alkene 12 to bromofluoride 15 (53\%) was carried out using NBS/nitromethane/ $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \cdot 3 \mathrm{HF} .{ }^{17}$ Hydrogenolysis of the carbobenzyloxy protecting groups of $\mathbf{1 3 - 1 5}$ with $\mathrm{H}_{2} / \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ and subsequent benzylation with benzyl bromide/ $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ afforded the $N$-benzyl compounds $\mathbf{9 - 1 1}$ in 51-69\% overall yields for the two steps.

Our first attempts to effect nucleophilic displacements of dibromide 9 (Scheme 2) were carried out in DMF under conditions used successfully by Malpass ${ }^{14}$ for halide displacements with bromide $5(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Bn}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{H})$. The results are shown in Table 1. Dibromide $\mathbf{9}$ was slowly converted to its diacetate 16a using excess cesium acetate ${ }^{18}$ (entry 1), but displacement of the second bromine was difficult. Even after 5 days there was unreacted starting material and a large amount of bromoacetate $\mathbf{1 7}$ in the reaction mixture. For the stereochemical assignment of diacetate 16, the protons $\mathrm{H}_{5} / \mathrm{H}_{6}$ are identical and appear as a singlet in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum. The retained 5-anti,6-anti stereochemistry is apparent from the absence of coupling between $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ or $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ and their vicinal syn protons $\mathrm{H}_{5} /$ $\mathrm{H}_{6}$. In this ring system these syn protons characteristically do not show vicinal coupling. ${ }^{2 c}$ For bromoacetate 18, there is the characteristic W-plan coupling between the syn protons $\mathrm{H}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{6}(J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{7 \mathrm{~b}}$ Methanolysis of the diacetate 16a afforded diol 16b. Attempted preparation of difluoride 18 from dibromide 9 using AgF/DMF formed instead the pyrrole aldehyde 19 (entry 2 ). ${ }^{19}$

To introduce nitrogen functionality, bromide 9 was reacted with sodium azide in DMF (entry 3) to give diazide 20 and azidobromide 21. As noted with CsOAc (entry 1), it was difficult to replace the second bromine in azidobromide $\mathbf{2 1}$ despite extended reaction times ( 8 days). The symmetrical diazide 20 gave a singlet for $\mathrm{H}_{5} / \mathrm{H}_{6}$, while bromoazide 21

[^3]TABLE 1. Nucleophilic Substitutions of Dibromide 9

|  |  | $\int_{\mathrm{Br}}^{\mathrm{Br}}$ |  | + |  | $\mathrm{CHO}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| entr | substrate | reagent | conditions | product | X | Y | yield <br> (\%) |
| 1 | 9 | CsOAc | DMF/60 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 5 \mathrm{~d}$ | 16a | OAc | $\mathrm{OAc}^{a}$ | 40 |
|  |  |  |  | 17 | Br | OAc | 42 |
| 2 | 9 | AgF | DMF/ $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 8 \mathrm{~h}$ | 19 |  |  | 72 |
| 3 | 9 | $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ | DMF/60 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 8 \mathrm{~d}$ | 20 | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 34 |
|  |  |  |  | 21 | Br | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $49^{\text {b }}$ |
| 4 | 9 | CsOAc | DMSO $/ 60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 5 \mathrm{~d}$ | 16a | OAc | OAc | 89 |
| 5 | 9 | NaOAc | DMSO $/ 60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 5 \mathrm{~d}$ | 16a | OAc | OAc | $14^{c}$ |
|  |  |  |  | 17 | Br | OAc | 59 |
| 6 | 9 | NaF | DMSO $/ 70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 5 \mathrm{~d}$ | 19 |  |  | $22^{d}$ |
| 7 | 16b | BAST | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 12 \mathrm{~h}$ | 18 | F | F | 24 |
|  |  |  |  | 19 |  |  | 40 |
| 8 | 9 | AgF | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2} / 50 \quad{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 8$ <br> h | 18 | F | F | 52 |
|  |  |  |  | 19 |  |  | 24 |
| 9 | 9 | $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ | DMSO/ $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 20 | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 87 |
| 10 | 9 | NaSPh | DMSO $/ 60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 5 \mathrm{~h}$ | 22 | SPh | SPh | 37 |
|  |  |  |  | 23 |  | SPh | 29 |

${ }^{a}$ Also $10 \%$ dibromide 9. ${ }^{b}$ Also $17 \%$ of dibromide 9. ${ }^{c}$ Also $15 \%$ dibromide 9. ${ }^{d}$ Also $50 \%$ dibromide 9.
showed the characteristic W-plan coupling for $\mathrm{H}_{5} / \mathrm{H}_{6}$ indicating that both structures have 5-anti,6-anti stereochemistry. The long reaction times and low yields in DMF solvent for preparation of desired diacetate 16a and diazide 20, along with the failure to prepare the desired difluoro isomer $\mathbf{1 8}$, initiated a search for alternative superior reaction conditions.

Dimethylsulfoxide was found to be a superior solvent for nucleophilic displacement reactions of dibromide 9 (entry 4). ${ }^{20}$ The substitution of DMSO for DMF, and otherwise the same reaction conditions in entry 1 for the reaction with CsOAc , resulted in complete conversion to the diacetate 16a in a suitable yield after 5 days. The use of cesium acetate was clearly superior to sodium acetate in this reaction (entry 5). Our pleasure was tempered somewhat by the failure of DMSO as solvent to enable dibromide 9 to be converted to a desired difluoride $\mathbf{1 8}$ in the presence of NaF (entry 6); again only the pyrrole aldehyde 19 was obtained. However, it was discovered that difluoride $\mathbf{1 8}$ could be obtained in small yield $(24 \%)$ by reaction of diol $\mathbf{1 6 b}$ with BAST (entry 7). ${ }^{3 b}$ The symmetrical difluoride evidenced the expected multiplet $\mathrm{AA}^{\prime} \mathrm{XX}^{\prime}$ pattern in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum shown in Figure 1. The main product in the reaction was the oxidized ringcleaved pyrrole aldehyde 19. Later, it was found that the difluoride $\mathbf{1 8}$ could be made directly from the dibromide 9 in better yield by reaction with silver fluoride in nitromethane as solvent (entry 8).

The symmetrical diazide $\mathbf{2 0}$ also was prepared from dibromide 9 in both vastly improved yield ( $87 \%$ ) and in shorter time (2 days) simply by replacing DMF with DMSO solvent (entry 9). With DMSO solvent it was also possible to prepare the symmetrical thiophenyl ether 22, although after 5 h some bromothiophenyl ether $\mathbf{2 3}$ and unreacted dibromide 9 remained (entry 10 ).

[^4]

FIGURE 1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $(400 \mathrm{MHz})$ for $\mathrm{H}_{5} / \mathrm{H}_{6}$ protons in difluoride $18\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.

## SCHEME 3. Reactions of Monobromides 10



Reactions of Monobromides 10 and 11. We next turned our attention to the monobromide $\mathbf{1 0}$ (Scheme 3). Its substitution reactions are tabulated in Table 2. Our initial efforts again focused upon reactions in DMF solvent because of precedent. ${ }^{14}$ With silver acetate in DMF bromide $\mathbf{1 0}$ gave acetate 24a in moderate yield (entry 1). This was methanolyzed using $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} /$ methanol to give alcohol $\mathbf{2 4 b}$ ( $84 \%$ ). To show that the benzyl group could be removed without destruction of the strained ring, alcohol 24 was hydrogenolyzed and the resulting amine was protected by reaction with $(\mathrm{BOC})_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to give $N$-BOC alcohol 25 (92\%).

Monobromide 10 and AgF in DMF gave the same ringopened and oxidized pyrrole aldehyde 19 (entry 2) observed upon reaction of dibromide 9 under these conditions. Bromide $\mathbf{1 0}$ in DMF did not react with NaF (entry 3) but did react with $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ and gave azide 27 in moderate yield (entry 4). The azide 27 was reduced using triphenylphosphine/ water, and the resultant amine was reacted with $(\mathrm{BOC})_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to afford the protected carbamate $\mathbf{2 8}$. An $N$-imidazole ring could be introduced by generation of lithium imidazole in DMF and reaction with bromide 10 to give amine 29 (entry 5). Sodium iodide (3 equiv) effected partial displacement of bromide ion to give an inseparable 50:50 mixture of bromide 10 and iodide 31 (entry 6).

DMSO again proved to be a superior solvent for the replacement of bromide using cesium acetate (entry 7), and bromide $\mathbf{1 0}$ produced acetate $\mathbf{2 4 a}$ in high yield. Cesium acetate was found to be a better salt for the displacement than NaOAc. NaF in DMSO did not yield a fluoride with bromide $\mathbf{1 0}$ (entry 8). The desired fluoride $\mathbf{2 6}$ could be obtained from alcohol 24b upon reaction with BAST (entry 9), but the fluoride $\mathbf{2 6}$ was obtained in higher yield from bromide 10 using AgF in nitromethane (entry 10). DMSO was shown to be a better solvent for bromide 10 in

TABLE 2. Nucleophilic Substitutions of Bromide 10

|  |  <br> 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| entry | substrate | reagent | conditions | product | X | yield (\%) |
| 1 | 10 | AgOAc | DMF/ $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 12 \mathrm{~h}$ | 24a | OAc | 54 |
| 2 | 10 | AgF | DMF/ $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 24 \mathrm{~h}$ | 19 |  | $42^{a}$ |
| 3 | 10 | NaF | DMF $/ 70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 12 \mathrm{~h}$ | 10 | Br | 88 |
| 4 | 10 | $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ | DMF $/ 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 12 \mathrm{~h}$ | 27 | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 51 |
| 5 | 10 | $\mathrm{LiNu}^{\text {b }}$ | DMF $/ 70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 8 \mathrm{~d}$ | 29 | $\mathrm{Nu}^{b}$ | 55 |
| 6 | 10 | $\mathrm{NaI}^{\text {c }}$ | DMF $/ 70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 3 \mathrm{~d}$ | 31 | I | $50^{d}$ |
| 7 | 10 | CsOAc ${ }^{e}$ | DMSO $/ 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 6 \mathrm{~h}$ | 24a | OAc | 90 |
| 8 | 10 | NaF | DMSO/70 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 12 \mathrm{~h}$ | 10 | Br | 82 |
| 9 | 24b | BAST | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 12 \mathrm{~h}$ | 26 | F | 62 |
| 10 | 10 | AgF | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2} / 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 12 \mathrm{~h}$ | 26 | F | 80 |
| 11 | 10 | $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ | DMSO $/ 70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 5 \mathrm{~h}$ | 27 | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 88 |
| 12 | 10 | NaSPh | DMSO $/ 60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 5 \mathrm{~h}$ | 30 | SPh | 77 |
| 13 | 10 | NaIf | acetone/reflux/4 d | 31 | I | $74^{g}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Bromide 10 was stable in DMF/70 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 12 \mathrm{~h} ; 90 \%$ recovery, no 19 formed. ${ }^{b} \mathrm{Nu}=\mathrm{N}$-imidazole. ${ }^{c} 3$ equiv. ${ }^{d}$ Admixed with unreacted bromide 10. ${ }^{e}$ To separate samples of the monobromide $\mathbf{1 0}$ in DMSO- $d_{6}$ was added 1.5 equiv of either NaOAc (sample A) or CsOAc (sample B). After 6 h the conversion to acetate 24a was $39 \%$ for sample A and $66 \%$ for sample B by NMR analysis. ${ }^{f} 20$ equiv. ${ }^{g}$ Total conversion of $\mathbf{1 0}$.
its reactions with $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ to give the azide 27 (entry 11) or with NaSPh to give the thiophenyl ether 30 (entry 12). It was possible to convert the bromide $\mathbf{1 0}$ to the iodide $\mathbf{3 1}$ using excess $\mathrm{NaI} /$ acetone after extended reflux (entry 13). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR indicated complete conversion of the bromide. The 5-anti stereochemistry for all new compounds in Table 2 was indicated by the observation of W-plan ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR couplings $\left(J_{5,6}=6.9-7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$.

The next substrate investigated was the bromofluoride $1 \mathbf{1}$ (Scheme 4), and its reactions are tabulated in Table 3. The bromofluoride 11 reacted slowly with CsOAc in DMF to give fluoroacetate 32a (entry 1). A sequence of methanolysis of the acetate 32a to alcohol 32b and then hydrogenolysis followed by acylation with $(\mathrm{BOC})_{2} \mathrm{O}$ gave a desired fluoroalcohol $33(86 \%)$. Formation of azide 34 from bromofluoride 11 was also a slow reaction (entry 2) and was accompanied by decomposition. The azide 34 was converted to the amine 35 using triphenylphosphine/water, and the amine was acylated to give the acetamide 36 .

While fluoroalcohol 32b showed coupling between OH-F $(J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}),{ }^{21}$ there was no evidence for such coupling in either the fluorine or proton NMR spectra of amine $\mathbf{3 5}$ or amide 36. Molecular models indicate the 5-anti- and 6-antisubstituents are not actually parallel but point slightly away from each other. The 5-anti,6-anti arrangement of halogen substituents was again indicated by W-plan couplings ( $J_{5,6}=$ $7.1-7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ).

Replacement of solvent DMF by DMSO facilitated the displacement reactions of $\mathbf{1 1}$ to give fluoroacetate 32a with either NaOAc (entry 3) or more effectively with CsOAc (entry 4). The same solvent effect was observed in the improved yields in formation of azide 34 upon

[^5]
## SCHEME 4. Reactions of Fluorobromide 11



TABLE 3. Nucleophilic Substitutions of Bromofluoride 11


| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| entry | substrate | reagent | conditions | product | X | yield $(\%)$ |  |
| 1 | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | CsOAc | $\mathrm{DMF} / 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 5 \mathrm{~d}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 a}$ | OAc | $30^{a}$ |  |
| 2 | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{DMF} / 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 5 \mathrm{~d}$ | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | $43^{b}$ |  |
| 3 | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | NaOAc | $\mathrm{DMSO} / 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 5 \mathrm{~d}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 a}$ | OAc | $33^{c}$ |  |
| 4 | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | CsOAc | $\mathrm{DMSO} / 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 5 \mathrm{~d}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 a}$ | OAc | 90 |  |
| 5 | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{DMSO} / 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 7 \mathrm{~d}$ | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | 67 |  |
| 6 | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | NaSPh | $\mathrm{DMSO} / 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 5 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | SPh | $15^{d}$ |  |
| 7 | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | NaSPh | $\mathrm{DMSO} / 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 9 \mathrm{~d}$ | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | SPh | $69^{e}$ |  |

${ }^{a}$ Also $64 \%$ unreacted 11. ${ }^{b}$ Also $31 \%$ unreacted 11. ${ }^{c}$ Also $64 \%$ unreacted 11. ${ }^{d}$ Also $66 \%$ unreacted $11 .{ }^{e}$ Also $4 \%$ unreacted 11.
reaction of $\mathbf{1 1}$ with $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ in DMSO (entry 5). It was also possible to prepare the fluorothioether $\mathbf{3 7}$ using NaSPh in DMSO, although the reaction was quite slow (entries 6 and 7).

The ease of bromide displacements in bromides $\mathbf{9 - 1 1}$ was dependent upon the adjacent substituent X. Monitoring of the disappearance of starting bromides indicated a relative reactivity order monobromide $\mathbf{1 0}>$ dibromide $\mathbf{9}>$ fluorobromide 11 (see Supporting Information). Nucleophilic substitution reactions with the bromide $\mathbf{1 0}$ in DMSO solvent with CsOAc or $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ required hours for completion, with the dibromide $\mathbf{9}$ a few days, and with the fluorobromide 11 $5-7$ days. In addition, upon displacement of one of the bromides of dibromide 9 by acetate, azide, or thiophenyl it took longer to displace the remaining bromides of bromoacetate 17, bromoazide 21, or bromo(phenylthio) ether 23 (Table 1). These reactivity orders indicate that all parallel heteroatom substituents in the adjacent methyl bridge, so far investigated, are rate-retarding for bromide substitution.

One plausible explanation for the rate-retarding effects of heteroatom groups is that electron withdrawal of the nitrogen lone pair by a second atom X reduces the ability for nitrogen atom interaction with the leaving bromide. In molecular orbital terms the nitrogen's lone pair of electrons could interact with the $\sigma^{*}$ orbitals of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Br}$ bond. On the basis of the electronegativity of the non-reacting $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{X}$ bond ( $\mathrm{H}<\mathrm{Br}<\mathrm{F}$ ), it might be predicted that the $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \sigma^{*}$ overlap for the reacting $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Br}$ bond should follow the order monobromide $10>$ dibromide $9>$ fluorobromide 11 . To gain evidence about the substituent effect upon lone pair $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \sigma^{*}$ interactions, NBO calculations were performed for

TABLE 4. Second-Order Perturbative Estimates (NBO Basis) for $\mathbf{n} \rightarrow \sigma^{*}$ Interaction Energies for Bromides 9-11

|  |  |  |  |  <br> exo |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \sigma^{*}(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})^{a}$ |  |  | substrate | $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \sigma^{*}(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ |  |
| entry | substrate | X | $\mathrm{N} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{Br}$ | $\mathrm{N} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{X}^{\text {b }}$ |  | $\mathrm{N} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{Br}$ | $\mathrm{N} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{X}$ |
| 1 | 9 endo- $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Bn}^{c}$ | Br | 1.71 | 0.96 | 9 exo- $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Bn}$ | 0.96 | 1.71 |
| 2 | 10 endo- $N$ - Bn | H | 1.75 | (0.28) | 10 exo- $N$-Bn | 0.89 | (0.55) |
| 3 | 11 endo- N - Bn | F | 1.63 | (0.69) | 11 exo- $N$-Bn | 0.92 | (1.63) |

${ }^{a}$ Geometry optimizations, frequency calculations, and NBO analyses were performed at the B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,p) level of theory on $\mathbf{9 - 1 1}{ }^{22,23}$ ${ }^{b}$ Those bonds that do not have leaving groups for substitutions are in parentheses. ${ }^{c}$ The benzyl group has been arbitrarily assigned as endo (or exo) to enable us to distinguish the two bromides as $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ for purposes of this analysis.


Rear lobe $\rightarrow$ Proximal Bromide


Large lobe $\rightarrow$ Distal Bromide

Figure 2. $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \sigma^{*}$ Orbital overlaps for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Br}$ bonds in benzyl dibromide 9 .
structures $\mathbf{9 - 1 1 .} .^{22,23}$ The calculations, shown in Table 4, indicate the nitrogen lone pair electrons, front lobe and rear lobe, interact with the $\sigma^{*}$ orbitals of both $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ substituents. Pictures in Figure 2 show the orbital overlap

[^6]
## SCHEME 5. Calculated Ionic Intermediates for Reactions of $N$ -

 Methyl-azabicyclic Bromides 38-40
for the two lobes of the nitrogen lone pair orbital in the dibromide $9 .{ }^{23 \mathrm{~d}}$ The larger $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \sigma^{*}$ interaction in each case occurs from the endo- $N$-benzyl conformer with the rear lobe (yellow) of the nitrogen atom overlapping ( $\mathrm{N} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Br}$ ). ${ }^{24}$ While it is true that the calculated relative overlap stabilization energies $(\mathbf{1 0}>\mathbf{9}>\mathbf{1 1})$ are consistent with the observed reactivity order $(\mathbf{1 0}>\mathbf{9}>\mathbf{1 1})$ with nucleophiles, the ground state interaction energies are too similar for this factor alone to explain the large relative rate differences. Indeed, the relative stabilization energies may differ appreciably as the corresponding transition state energies are approached. Electron lone pair orbital interactions would be expected to become more important as positive charge is created at $\mathrm{C}_{5}$.

To gain information on charged intermediates derived from $N$-methyl substrates $\mathbf{3 8 a}-\mathbf{c}$, we performed single point energy calculations of $N$-methyl carbocations 39a-c (Scheme 5) by two different means: (1) Hartree-Fock 6$31+G(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{p})^{25}$ and (2) B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p) ${ }^{26}$ methods/basis sets using the Gaussian 03 suite of computations. ${ }^{22}$ We then optimized these structures for geometry using the same two methods. In all instances, save one, each optimization of a cation 39 led to an iminium ion 40; exo and endo isomers led to the same ions. The one exception occurred with bromofluoride 38c using method 2 in which the aziridinium ion 41c was the outcome of the calculation. Independently, we optimized iminium ion 40c using method 2. The fluoro aziridinium ion 41c was calculated to be $43.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ less

[^7]stable than fluoroiminium ion 40c. See Supporting Information for details on aziridinium ions 41a,b. ${ }^{27}$

Considering the large energy preference calculated for the gas phase iminium ions 40 versus the aziridinium ions 41 , it is perhaps surprising that the 5-anti-bromo-2-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexanes $\mathbf{9 - 1 1}$, related to $\mathbf{3 8 a}-\mathbf{c}$, can undergo nucleophilic substitutions to afford unrearranged 5(6)-anti products related to 42. A 1,2-alkyl shift of $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ from $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ to $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ on the anti face of a cationic species 39 leads to iminium ion 40. Unrearranged products 42 that have retained stereochemistry are consistent with an intermediate ion that under suitable conditions is resistant to rearrangement. Such an ion in solution might be an aziridinium ion such as 41, associated with its counterion.

Solvent effects are consistent with the need to stabilize a transition state leading to charged intermediates, such as 41a-c. The more polar DMSO was a more effective solvent than DMF for the displacement reactions of all substrates $\mathbf{9 - 1 1 .}{ }^{20,28}$ A "cesium ion effect" was also noted for acetate displacements. ${ }^{28-30}$ Reactions of fluorobromide 11 with CsOAc and NaOAc are illustrative. This substrate remained $64 \%$ unreacted (Table 4, entry 3) with NaOAc in DMSO solvent at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after 5 days, but with CsOAc and the same temperature/solvent conditions fluorobromide $\mathbf{1 1}$ reacted completely (Table 3, entry 4). These reactions were run under heterogeneous conditions, and thus the salt solutions were concentrated. The greater solubility of CsOAc than NaOAc in DMSO and DMF, as well as lesser ion pairing of cesium salts, increases the ionic strength of the CsOAc reaction solutions and facilitates the formation of charged ions in the polar solvents. ${ }^{30}$
Silver ions facilitated bromide displacements. The outcomes of the silver salt reactions we investigated were dependent upon counterion and solvent. Illustrative are the conversions of monobromide $\mathbf{1 0}$ in Table 2. $\mathrm{AgOAc} / \mathrm{DMF}$ gave acetate 20a (entry 1). However, with AgF/DMF the reaction took a different course, and an oxidative rearrangement occurred to give pyrrole aldehyde 19 (entry 2). The sodium salt NaF /DMF was unreactive with bromide $\mathbf{1 0}$ over 12 h (entry 3), but the silver salt $\mathrm{AgF} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ provided fluoride 26 (entry 10 ).

Reactions of the alcohols $\mathbf{1 6 b}\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and $\mathbf{2 4 b}\left(40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ with BAST/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to give fluorides $\mathbf{1 8}$ and $\mathbf{2 6}$ were markedly easier than conversion of bromides $\mathbf{9}\left(50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ or $\mathbf{1 0}\left(70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ to the fluorides, even with $\mathrm{AgF} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ (Table 1, entries 7, 8 and Table 2, entries 10, 11). The hydroxyl groups are activated for displacement by BAST after formation of Osulfur bonds; fluorination reactions occurred in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in a few hours.

The oxidative ring-opening reaction to form the aromatic aldehyde 19 occurred under a variety of conditions. Reactions of dibromide 9 in Table 1 are informative. $\mathrm{AgF} / \mathrm{DMF}$ afforded the aldehyde 19 (entry 1), but so did NaF/DMSO

[^8](entry 6). $\mathrm{AgF} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ gave a mixture of difluoride $\mathbf{1 8}$ and aldehyde $\mathbf{1 9}$ (entry 8). In two trials with $\mathrm{NaN}_{3} / \mathrm{DMF}$ similar to entry 3 , but using less pure noncrystalline dibromide $\mathbf{9}$, a small amount ( $<6 \%$ ) of aldehyde 19 was obtained with air as the only recognized oxidant. Aldehyde 19 also formed during reactions of BAST with diol 16b (Table 1, entry 7). For proposed mechanisms to this oxidized ring-cleaved aldehyde 19, see Supporting Information.

## Conclusion

The novel $N$-benzyl-5-anti,6-anti-dibromo-2-azabicyclo[2.1.1] hexane nitrogen mustard $\mathbf{9}$, the bromide $\mathbf{1 0}$, and bromofluoride $\mathbf{1 1}$ react with nucleophiles to give products with retained stereochemistry. We have observed single bromide displacement reactions, and somewhat slower displacements of two bromides by appropriate oxygen (acetate), nitrogen (azide, imidazole), thioether (phenylthio), and halide (fluoride, iodide) nucleophiles. The present synthetic route describes the first reported examples of 5-anti,6-anti-diols, -difluorides, -diazides, -dithioethers, -fluoroamines, and -fluorothioethers, as well as the first 5-anti-imidazoles. We presently envision use of the diols, fluoroalcohols, and difluorides as key intermediates for the preparation of methanoproline derivatives, desired in order to study substituent effects on amide conformations.

## Experimental Section

$N$-Benzyl-5-anti,6-anti-dibromo-2-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexane (9). To a solution of dibromide $\mathbf{1 3}^{\mathbf{7 b}}(1000 \mathrm{mg}, 2.67 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol $(75 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(150 \mathrm{mg})$. The solution was degassed and stirred under a $\mathrm{H}_{2}$-filled balloon for 1 h at rt . The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite, the filtrate was evaporated, and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel $(9: 1 \mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{MeOH})$ to give $513 \mathrm{mg}(80 \%)$ of dibromoamine 13-int at $R_{f}=0.58(2: 1 \mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{MeOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $4.11\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 3.87\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 3.35(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 3.17\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 3.10\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{rt}\right) \delta 66.2,52.3,51.2,47.1$; HRMS $m / z$ 239.9014, 241.9001, 243.8983, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}^{79 / 79,79 / 81,81 / 81} \mathrm{Br}_{2}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) 239.9023,241.9003,243.8983$. To a solution of amine $(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 2.08 \mathrm{~mol})$ in acetonitrile $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.69 \mathrm{~g}$, $16.60 \mathrm{~mol})$ and then $\mathrm{BnBr}(1.42 \mathrm{~g}, 8.30 \mathrm{~mol})$ dropwise at rt . The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 36 h . Solvent was removed in vacuo, ether ( 75 mL ) was added, the mixture was stirred for 10 min at rt and then filtered, and the residue was washed with ether ( 25 mL ). Solvent again was removed in vacuo to afford crude dibromide 9. This was chromatographed on silica gel (hexanes/ether $4: 1$ ) to afford $590 \mathrm{mg}(86 \%)$ of an off-white solid dibromide 9 at $R_{f}=0.75$ (1:1 hexanes/ether); mp $68-70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.36-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}), 4.32(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}_{5}$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 3.85\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 3.61\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 3.12$ $\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.6,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.92\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 137.8,128.5,127.4,70.1,58.6,55.1,52.4,51.1$; HRMS m/z 329.9482, 331.9472, 333.9453, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}^{79 / 79,79 / 81,81 / 81} \mathrm{Br}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$ 329.9493, 331.9473, 333.9453. Hexanes $(0.3 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added to a vial with a syringe that contained about 5 mg of dibromide 9 dissolved in ether $(0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The vial was wrapped with aluminum foil, small holes were made with a syringe, and the solution was allowed to sit for 3 d to give crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)-5-anti-bromo-2-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexane (14). To a solution of dibromide $13(693 \mathrm{mg}, 1.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added $(\mathrm{TMS})_{3} \mathrm{SiH}(596 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ and AIBN $(40 \mathrm{mg})$. The resulting solution was allowed to stir at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The solvent was concentrated in vacuo, and flash chromatography
gave $407 \mathrm{mg}(74 \%)$ of the monobromide $\mathbf{1 4}$ at $R_{f}=0.39(2: 1$ hexanes/ether); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.91$ (s, 2H), 4.19 (br d, $J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), 3.58 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{1}$ ), 3.27 (d, $J$ $\left.=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 3.23\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.79\left(\mathrm{dm}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \text { anti }}\right)$, $2.67\left(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}^{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 1.40\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \text { syn }}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(100$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 155.5,136.9,128.9,128.5,128.4,68.6,65.2,55.2$, 49.4, 46.3, 39.3 and 25.5; HRMS $m / z$ found 296.0284, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{Br}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$ 296.0281, m$/ \mathrm{z} 318.0105$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{BrNa}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}) 318.0105$.
$N$-Benzyl-5-anti-bromo-2-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexane (10). To a solution of the monobromide 14 ( $708 \mathrm{mg}, 2.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in MeOH $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(71 \mathrm{mg})$, and the resulting solution was degassed and allowed to stir for 1 h at rt under hydrogen. After 1 h the catalyst was filtered via Celite, and the solvent was removed in vacuo to give 500 mg of the crude amine. Without further purification the amine was dissolved in acetonitrile $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and to the resulting solution were added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( $405 \mathrm{mg}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{BnBr}(328 \mathrm{mg}, 1.9 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resultant solution was stirred at rt for 3 days. Solvent was removed in vacuo to give an oil that on flash chromatography gave 351 mg ( $58 \%$ ) of the bromide 10 at $R_{f}=0.40$ (1:1 hexane/ether); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.39-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $\left.8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.46\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.9,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 2.88$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{3}, \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \text { anti }}\right), 1.76\left(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{syn}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 139.0,128.5,128.3,127.0,68.8,59.0,55.3$, 54.6, 48.0, 35.8; HRMS $m / z$ found 252.0383, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NBr}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) 252.0383$.
$N$-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)-5-anti-bromo-6-anti-fluoro-2-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexane (15). To a solution of 2-azabicyclo[2.2.0]hex-5-ene $\mathbf{1 2}^{7 \mathrm{~b}}(1.30 \mathrm{~g}, 0.006 \mathrm{~mol})$ in $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added NBS $(2.15 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.012 \mathrm{~mol})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \cdot 3 \mathrm{HF}(2.92 \mathrm{~g}, 0.018 \mathrm{~mol})$ dropwise over a period of $10 \mathrm{~min} .{ }^{12}$ The reaction was brought to rt and stirred for 20 h . Then the reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(125 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 50 mL ). The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (1:3 ether/hexanes) to afford 997 mg ( $53 \%$ ) of bromofluoride 15 as a colorless oil at $R_{f}=0.49$ (1:1 ether/ hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.41-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, 5.18 (d, $J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $\left.59.1,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 4.55\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 4.11(\mathrm{dd}$, $\left.J=7.3,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 3.61\left(\mathrm{ddd}, J=9.1,3.2,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right.$ ), 3.51 (dt, $J=9.1,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3^{\prime}}$ ), 3.13 (brdd, $J=7.3,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 154.9,136.0,128.5$, $128.3,128.0,99.7\left(J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=226.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 67.4,64.6,49.8$, $49.1\left(J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=\right.$ 17.5 Hz ), $48.1 \mathrm{~B}^{19}$ F NMR ( $282 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-204.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=58.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}),-205.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=58.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$; HRMS $m / z$ found 336.0014 , 338.0005 , calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{FBr}^{79}$ and ${ }^{81} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$ 336.0011, 337.9991.
$N$-Benzyl-5-anti-bromo-6-anti-fluoro-2-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexane (11). To a solution of fluorobromide $\mathbf{1 5}(990 \mathrm{mg}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(99 \mathrm{mg})$. The solution was degassed in vacuo for 5 min and stirred at rt under a $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ balloon for 1 h . The reaction mixture was then filtered through Celite, the solvent was removed in vacuo, the crude amine was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(17 \mathrm{~mL})$, and then $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 4 \mathrm{mmol})$ followed by $\mathrm{BnBr}(807 \mathrm{mg}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added dropwise. The solution was stirred at rt for 3 days followed by removal of solvent in vacuo to give the residue, which was chromatographed to give 494 mg ( $58 \%$ ) of bromoamine 11 as a light orange oil at $R_{f}=0.79$ (1:5 ether/hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.40-7.25(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 5.17$ (dd, $J=60.6,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), 4.39 (dd, $J=7.4,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 3.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{dd}$, $\left.J=6.8,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 3.07(\mathrm{ddt}, J=6.8,4.4$, and $1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 3.03\left(\mathrm{dt}, J=9.0\right.$ and $\left.1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.74(\mathrm{ddd}, J=9.0,4.0$, $\left.1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3^{\prime}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 137.9,128.4$ (2C overlap), 127.3, $100.1\left(J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=222.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 68.4\left(J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=18.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$, $58.5,52.9\left(J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 51.4\left(J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 49.4\left(J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=3.0\right.$

Hz ); ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $282 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-208.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=60.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); HRMS $m / z$ 270.0275, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{BrFN}$ (M) 270.0288.
$N$-Benzyl-5-anti,6-anti-diacetoxy-2-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexane (16a) and $N$-Benzyl-5-anti-acetoxy-6-antibromo-2-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexane (17). General Method A (DMF). To a solution of dibromide 9 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.604 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 20 mL ) under argon was added cesium acetate ( $696 \mathrm{mg}, 3.63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After stirring at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 days, the reaction mixture was allowed to reach rt. Brine ( 10 mL ) was added, and the solvent was extracted with ether $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined ether extracts were washed with water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The dried ether was evaporated, and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel (hexanes/ether 2:1) to give $70 \mathrm{mg}(40 \%)$ of diacetate 16a as an orange colored oil at $R_{f}=0.27$ (1:1 hexanes/ ether), $78 \mathrm{mg}(42 \%)$ of bromoacetate $\mathbf{1 7}$ as an orange colored oil at $R_{f}=0.53$ ( $1: 1$ hexanes/ether), and $19 \mathrm{mg}(10 \%)$ of starting material. For diacetate 16a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 7.43-7.23 (m, 5H, Ph), $4.99\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 3.91(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 3.56\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 3.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 3.03\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.10\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 170.8,138.2,128.7,128.4,127.3,81.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right.$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ ), 65.5, 58.6, 52.2, 47.7, 21.0; HRMS $m / z 290.1399$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$ 290.1392. For bromoacetate 17: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.41-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}), 4.91(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86$ (d, $J=13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 3.11$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 3.04\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.92(\mathrm{br}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3^{\prime}}$ ), $2.13(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 170.9$, 137.7, 128.6, 128.5, 127.4, 82.3, 68.2, 58.4, 53.7, 50.3, 50.0, 21.2; HRMS $m / z 310.0410,312.0424$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17}-$ $\mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{79,81} \mathrm{Br}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) 310.0443,312.0422$.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-Benzyl-5-anti,6-anti-dihydroxy-2-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexane (16b). To a solution of diacetate $\mathbf{1 6 a}(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.173 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol ( 3 mL ) under argon was added triethylamine $(175 \mathrm{mg}, 1.728 \mathrm{mmol})$. The solution was stirred at rt overnight and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification of the obtained residue by flash chromatography $\left(9.5: 0.5 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\right.$ $\mathrm{MeOH})$ afforded $27 \mathrm{mg}(76 \%)$ of diol $\mathbf{1 6 b}$ as a light orange oil at $R_{f}=0.54\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 9: 1\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 7.40-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.59\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.57$ (br, 2H, 2OH), 3.21 (d, $\left.J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 2.97\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$, $2.64\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 137.7, 128.8, 128.5 and 127.4, $81.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 67.9,58.9,52.7$, 50.4; HRMS $m / z$ 206.1173, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ ( $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}$ ) 206.1181.
$N$-Benzyl-5-anti,6-anti-difluoro-2-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexane (18) and N -Benzyl-3-formylpyrrole (19) (from diol 16b). To a solution of diol $\mathbf{1 6 b}(25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.122 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.7 \mathrm{~mL})$ under argon was added BAST ( $81 \mathrm{mg}, 0.365 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dropwise at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting mixture was brought to rt and stirred overnight. The solution was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with brine $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and then the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The organic layer was concentrated under reduced pressure, and purification of obtained residue by preparative TLC ( $1: 1$ hexanes/ether) gave 6 mg ( $24 \%$ ) of difluoro compound 18 at $R_{f}=0.37$ ( $1: 1$ hexanes/ether) and $9 \mathrm{mg}(40 \%)$ aldehyde 19 at $R_{f}=0.13$ (1:1 hexanes/ether). For 18: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.35-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.34\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{AA}^{\prime} \mathrm{XX}^{\prime}\right.$ pattern, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.45\left(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.2,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 2.99(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.92\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 138.1$, 128.4 (2C), 127.3, 100.6 , and $98.3\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{AA}^{\prime} \mathrm{XX}^{\prime}\right.$ pattern, $2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}_{5}$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 65.5\left(\mathrm{t}, J=18.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 58.7,50.5(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 49.2(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.J=18.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{4}\right)$; ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $282 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-217.3$ (m). HRMS $m / z$ 210.1089, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NF}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$ 210.1094.
$N$-Benzyl-5-anti,6-anti-diazido-2-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexane (20) and $N$-Benzyl-6-anti-azido-5-anti-bromo-2-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexane (21) (DMF). According to general method A, sodium azide $(15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of crystalline
dibromide 9 ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.045 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 2.5 mL ) under an air atmosphere. The mixture was allowed to stir at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 d . Workup and chromatography of the residue using silica gel (5:1 hexane/ether) gave 9.3 mg of a mixture of dibromide $9(2.6 \mathrm{mg})$, $2.8 \mathrm{mg}(34 \%)$ of diazide 20 at $R_{f}=0.5$ ( $1: 1$ hexane/ether), and $4.0 \mathrm{mg}(49 \%)$ of bromoazide 21 at $R_{f}=0.6$. In two trials with noncrystalline dibromide 9 a small amount ( $<6 \%$ ) of aldehyde 19 was observed at $R_{f}=0.35$. For diazide 20: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}) \delta 7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 2.85\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.81\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) \delta 138.4,128.9,128.9,127.8,68.4,67.3,59.0,53.9$, 48.2; HRMS $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{7}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) 256.1259$, found 256.1263. For bromoazide 22: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) \delta=7.25$ (m, 5H), $4.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77$ (two d, $J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 3.41 (d, $\left.J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 2.93$ (m, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.72\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100$ $\mathrm{Hz}) \delta 138.3,128.9,128.9,127.8,69.8,68.9,59.0,54.8,50.5$ (2C); HRMS $m / z$ 294.0483, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Br}\left(\mathrm{MBr}^{79}+\mathrm{H}\right)$ 294.0481 and 296.0465, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Br}\left(\mathrm{MBr}^{81}+\mathrm{H}\right)$ 296.0461.
$N$-Benzyl-5-anti-6-anti-di(phenylthio)-2-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexane (22) and N -Benzyl-5-anti-bromo-6-anti-(phenylthio)-2-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexane (23). General Method B (DMSO). To a solution of dibromide $9(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMSO $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added NaSPh ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.906 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) under argon, and the reaction mixture was maintained at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h . The usual workup and chromatography (prep TLC, 1:2 ether/hexanes) gave di(phenylthio) ether $22(22 \mathrm{mg}, 37 \%)$ at $R_{f}=0.53$ (1:2 ether/ hexanes) and bromo(phenylthio) ether $23(16 \mathrm{mg}, 29 \%)$ at $R_{f}=$ 0.59 (1:2 ether/hexanes) as light orange-colored oils and the starting dibromide 9 ( $2 \mathrm{mg}, 4 \%$ ) at $R_{f} 0.73$ (1:2 ether/hexanes) as an off-white solid. For di(phenylthio) ether 22: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.44-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 3.94\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}_{5^{\prime}}\right)$, $3.80\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 3.71\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 3.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}$ ), $306\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 138.5 (br, 2C), 129.2, 129.0, 128.5, 128.4, 127.2, 126.1, 71.3, 58.9, 56.8, 56.6, 51.6; HRMS $m / z 390.1361$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NS}_{2}$ (M+ H) 390.1345. For bromo(phenylthio) ether 23: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.37-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 4.27\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)$, 3.88 (d, $\left.J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 3.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 3.74\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 3.64\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$, $3.13\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.97\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.94(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $\left.=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 139.1,138.0$, $129.3,129.0,128.5,128.5,127.4,126.2,71.2,58.8,58.3,55.9,52.7$, 52.0; HRMS m/z 360.0433 calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{BrNS}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$ 360.0416 .
$N$-Benzyl-5-anti-acetoxy-2-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexane (24a) (DMF). Following general method A , to a solution of bromide $\mathbf{1 0}$ ( 14 mg , 0.06 mmol ) in DMF ( 8 mL ) under argon was added ( 72 mg , 0.5 mmol ) of AgOAc . The resulting solution was heated for 12 h at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Workup and chromatography gave $7 \mathrm{mg}(54 \%)$ of acetate 24a at $R_{f}=0.28$ (1:1 hexane/ethyl acetate); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.73\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 3.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.74\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 2.88\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.74\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.42(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{anti}}$ ), $2.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.73\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{syn}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 171.1,130.0,128.8,128.4,127.2,81.4$, 65.5, 58.5, 54.0, 44.4, 32.3, 21.0; HRMS $m / z$ found 232.1315, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) 232.1315$.

N-Benzyl-5-anti-hydroxy-2-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexane (24b). To a solution of acetate $\mathbf{2 4 a}(3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.002 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol ( 3 mL ) was added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(138 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the solution was stirred at rt for 1 h . After 1 h the base was filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo to give $2.1 \mathrm{mg}(84 \%)$ of the alcohol $\mathbf{2 4 b}$ at $R_{f}=0.20$ ( $1: 2$ hexane/ethyl acetate); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.18\left(\mathrm{brd}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 3.78(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.69\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $\left.6.8,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 2.96\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.64(\operatorname{brd}, J=$
$8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{anti}}$ ), 2.54 (dd, $J=6.8,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}$ ), 2.48 (d, $\left.J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 1.74\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \text { syn }}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 128.7,128.3,126.9,80.6,67.3,59.1,55.0$, 46.1, 32.3; HRMS $m / z$ 190.1212, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$ 190.1227.
$N$-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-5-anti-hydroxy-2-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexane (25). To a solution of alcohol $\mathbf{2 4 b}(25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol})$ in MeOH $(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(5 \mathrm{mg})$ and $(\mathrm{BOC})_{2} \mathrm{O}(54 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.25 mmol ). The solution was stirred under 1 atm of hydrogen for 7 h at rt . Afterward, the solution was diluted with 10 mL of MeOH and filtered through Celite. Evaporation of the solvent followed by column chromatography gave $24 \mathrm{mg}(92 \%)$ of the pure alcohol 25 at $R_{f}=0.31$ ( $2: 1$ hexane/ether); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 4.17\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right.$ and $\mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), $3.32(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.93\left(\mathrm{dm}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.70\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{anti}}\right), 1.81$ (br, OH), $1.61\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \text { syn }}\right), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 155.7,81.4,79.5,63.4,48.3,44.1,36.9$, 28.5; HRMS $m / z$ found 222.1104, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{Na}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}) 222.1104$.
$N$-Benzyl-5-anti-fluoro-2-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexane (26) (from bromide 10 using AgF). To a solution of bromide $10(33 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.13 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ at rt under argon was added AgF $(83 \mathrm{mg}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the reaction mixture was heated at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The AgF was filtered via Celite, and the solvent was removed in vacuo to give $20 \mathrm{mg}(80 \%)$ of pure fluoride $\mathbf{2 6}$ at $R_{f}=0.20$ ( $1: 2$ hexane/ethyl acetate); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.90\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=64,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 3.74(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J=13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.65\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.35(\mathrm{brd}$, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3}$ ), $2.88\left(\mathrm{~b}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.72\left(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.51(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{6 \text { anti }}$ ), $1.90\left(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.0,7.2,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \text { syn }}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 138.6,128.7,128.4,127.2,98.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ 208 Hz ), 65.8 and $65.6,58.9,53.5,45.3,32.1 ;{ }^{19}$ F NMR (282 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-220.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=64.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$; HRMS $m / z$ found 192.1191, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NF}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) 192.1188$.

N -Benzyl-5-anti-azido-2-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexane (27). Method A (DMF). To a solution of bromide $10(65 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 10 mL ) under argon was added sodium azide $(84 \mathrm{mg}, 1.3$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting solution was heated for 12 h at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The usual workup and flash chromatography gave 28 mg ( $51 \%$ ) of the azide 27 at $R_{f}=0.39$ ( $1: 1$ hexane/ether); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.86\left(\mathrm{br}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 3.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65$ (d, $J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.32\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.3,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$, $2.86\left(\operatorname{brd}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.67\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.61\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$, 2.38 (brd, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \text { anti }}$ ), $1.69\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \text { syn }}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 129.0,128.8,127.5,69.5,67.0,59.2$, 54.9, 45.2 and 33.8; HRMS $m / z$ found 215.1291, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$ 215.1282; $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ found 256.1551, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}+\mathrm{H}\right) 256.1556$.

N-Benzyl-5-anti-(tert-butoxycarbonylamino)-2-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexane (28). To a solution of azide $27(29 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene ( 8 mL ) were added $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(71 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol})$ and water $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the resultant solution was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . After cooling, the two layers were separated, and the water layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. All organic layers were combined and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Solvent was removed in vacuo, and the amine was dissolved in MeOH to which were added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(18 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $(\mathrm{BOC})_{2} \mathrm{O}(28 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. Removal of the solvent followed by flash chromatography gave $34 \mathrm{mg}(87 \%)$ of the BOC protected amine 28 at $R_{f}=$ 0.37 (1:3 hexane/ethyl acetate); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.92(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.76\left(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 3.40$ (br, $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ ), $2.86\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.72\left(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.32$ (brd, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{anti}}$ ), $1.74\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{syn}}\right), 1.35(\mathrm{~s}$, $9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 156.4,139.3,128.9$ and $128.8,127.5,119.5,79.9,66.5,59.0,55.2,44.7,34.3,34.2,28.8 ;$ HRMS $m / z$ found 289.1917, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$ 289.1911; m/z found 311.1749, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Na}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}) 311.1730$.
$N$-Benzyl-5-anti-imidazol-1-yl-2-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexane (29). Butyllithium ( $90 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.5 \mathrm{M}$ solution in hexanes, 0.226 mmol ) was added dropwise to imidazole ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.226 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DMF ( 0.5 mL ) under argon, and the mixture was stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 0.25 h . A solution of bromide $\mathbf{1 0}(19 \mathrm{mg}, 0.075$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous DMF $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and after stirring at $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 days, workup, and chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH} 90: 10: 1\right)$ the imidazolyl compound 29 was isolated as a light orange-colored oil ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%$ ) at $R_{f}=0.60$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}, 90: 10: 1\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 7.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30$ (d, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), $3.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}), 3.83(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}), 3.70\left(\mathrm{dbr}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 3.14-3.07(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.79\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 a n t i}\right), 2.13\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 1.88$ (two d, $J=8.5,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \text { syn }}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 138.7, 136.8, 129.4, 128.6, 128.5, 127.3, 119.0, 66.1, 64.4, 58.9, 54.6, 44.1, 33.4; HRMS $m / z 240.1495$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+$ H) 240.1495
$N$-Benzyl-5-anti-phenylthio-2-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexane (30). Following method B , to a solution of monobromide $\mathbf{1 0}$ $(22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.087 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMSO $(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added NaSPh ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.262 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the reaction mixture was maintained at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h under argon. Workup and chromatography (prep TLC, 1:1 ether/hexanes) afforded phenylthio ether $\mathbf{3 0}$ ( $19 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$ ) at $R_{f}=0.42$ (1:1 ether/hexanes) as a light orange colored oil; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.50-7.23(\mathrm{~m}$, 10 H ), 3.96 (d, $J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 3.91 (d, $J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 3.72\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 3.54(\mathrm{dbr}, J=6.6,1.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}$ ), 2.99 (dd, $J=8.7,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}$ ), 2.93 (dd, $\left.J=8.7,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.88\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 s y n}\right), 2.84\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$, $1.81\left(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 y n}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 138.9, 136.9, 128.9, 128.7, 128.6, 128.4, 127.1, 125.8, 67.3, 58.8, 55.8, 54.4, 45.0, 35.7; HRMS $m / z 282.1321$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NS}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) 282.1311$.

N-Benzyl-5-anti-iodo-2-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexane (31). A solution of $\mathrm{NaI}(190 \mathrm{mg}, 1.269 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone $(750 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was added to bromide $\mathbf{1 0}(16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.063 \mathrm{mmol})$ under argon. The reaction mixture was maintained at reflux for 4 days. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the crude was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with water $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic extracts were combined and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the crude was chromatographed (prep TLC, 1:1 ether/hexanes) to give iodide 31 ( 14 mg , $74 \%$ ) at $R_{f}=0.74$ ( $1: 1$ ether/hexanes) as a light orange-colored oil; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.38-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), 3.80 (two d, $J=13.3,13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}$ ), 3.46 (dd, $\left.J=6.5,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 2.86-2.77\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{3}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}_{6 \text { anti }}\right), 1.75\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \text { syn }}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}(100 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 139.0,128.5,128.4,127.1,69.5,59.1,54.1,48.5,37.9$, 30.1; HRMS $m / z 300.0243$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{IN}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$ 300.0244.
$N$-Benzyl-6-anti-acetoxy-5-anti-fluoro-2-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexane (32a). Method A (DMF). To a solution of bromofluoride $\mathbf{1 1}$ ( $900 \mathrm{mg}, 3.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 55 mL ) under argon was added cesium acetate ( $1279 \mathrm{mg}, 6.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The solution was maintained at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 days. The usual workup and flash chromatography (1:3 ether/hexanes) afforded $578 \mathrm{mg}(64 \%)$ of unreacted fluorobromide 11 at $R_{f}=0.61$ ( $1: 1$ ether/hexane) and $249 \mathrm{mg}(30 \%)(84 \%$ BORSM) of fluoroacetate 32a at $R_{f}=0.44$ (1:1 ether/hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.38-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.29-5.08(\mathrm{~m}$, ABX pattern, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{6}$ ) (see Supporting Information), 3.88 (d, $J=13.2, \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.2, \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.1,2.2$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 3.05-2.95\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.88$ (ddd, $J=9.0,3.7$, $1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}$ ), $2.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ 1:1 mixture) $\delta 7.41-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.26-4.99(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{ABX}$ pattern, 2 H , $\mathrm{H}_{5}$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 3.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.2, \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.2, \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.48\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.1,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 2.86\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$,
2.79-2.62 (m, 2H, 2H ${ }_{3}$ ), 2.01 ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.44-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.21-4.96\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{ABX}\right.$ pattern, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}_{6}\right)$, 3.61 (d, $J=13.2, \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55$ (d, $J=13.2, \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $\left.7.1,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 2.78\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.57(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.50\left(\mathrm{ddd}, J=9.0,3.6,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 1.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 171.2,138.2,128.5,128.4$ and 127.2, 99.5 $\left(J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=219.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 81.3\left(J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 65.5\left(J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=18.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$, $58.7,51.4\left(J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 48.4\left(J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=17.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 21.0 ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $282 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-214.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=60.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); HRMS $m / z$ 250.1224, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{FNO}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) 250.1238$.

N-Benzyl-5-anti-fluoro-6-anti-hydroxy-2-azabicyclo[2.1.1] hexane (32b). To a solution of fluoroacetate 32a ( $575 \mathrm{mg}, 2.306 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol ( 35 mL ) under argon was added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(3212 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 23.066$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. The solution was stirred at rt for 20 h and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification of the obtained residue by flash chromatography ( $0.5: 9.5 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) afforded 459 mg ( $96 \%$ ) of fluoroalcohol 32b at $R_{f}=0.62\left(1: 9 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.40-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.41$ (dd, $J=61.8$, $\left.8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 4.56\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.33$ (dd, $J=7.2,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}$ and $\left.\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}\right), 2.93\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.82$ (brdd, $\left.J=7.2,5.2, \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $137.8,128.6,128.5$ and $127.4,102.2\left(J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=208.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 82.4,66.9$ $\left(J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 58.8,51.6\left(J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 50.2\left(J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{4}\right) ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $282 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-213.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=62.4,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); the extra 3.9 Hz may be due to H -bonding. Calculated couplings for the related $N$-methyl fluoroalcohol are 62.53 and 11.8 Hz ; HRMS $m / z$ 208.1109, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{FNO}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) 208.1132$.
N-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-5-anti-fluoro-6-anti-hydroxy-2-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexane (33). To a solution of fluoroalcohol 32b ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.206 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added palladium hydroxide ( $20 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{Pd}$ on carbon) ( 38 mg ) and ( Boc$)_{2} \mathrm{O}(316 \mathrm{mg}$, 1.447 mmol ). The resulting solution was stirred at rt under hydrogen for 6 h . Then the solution was filtered through Celite and washed with $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The filtrate was evaporated to give an oily solid, $n$-heptane ( 20 mL ) was added to the residue, and solvent was again evaporated. Then $n$-heptane ( 30 mL ) was added to the residue, and after 2 h of stirring at rt , the separated solid was filtered and dried under reduced pressure to afford $237 \mathrm{mg}(91 \%)$ of fluoroalcohol 33 as an off-white solid at $R_{f}=$ 0.71 (1:9 MeOH/CH2 $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); mp $95-97{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.10\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=60.8,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 4.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}$ ), $4.22\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 3.47\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 3.40(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3^{\prime}}$ ), $3.08(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 2.83(\mathrm{brt}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 154.9,101.9$ $\left(J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=214.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 84.1,80.4,63.8(\mathrm{br}), 48.1\left(J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, 46.0 (br), 28.3; ${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $282 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-209.3$ (d, $J=57.6$ Hz ), -210.3 (d, $J=57.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) (no F-HO splitting was observed.); HRMS $m / z$ 240.1018, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{FNO}_{3} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$ 240.1012.
$N$-Benzyl-6-anti-azido-5-anti-fluoro-2-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexane (34). Method A (DMF). Sodium azide ( $144 \mathrm{mg}, 2.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and tetrabutylammonium chloride ( 30 mg ) were added to a solution of fluorobromide $\mathbf{1 1}(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.740 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF ( 15 mL ) under argon. The reaction mixture was maintained at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 days. Workup and flash chromatography (1:4 ether/hexanes) afforded $74 \mathrm{mg}(43 \%)(62 \%$ BORSM) of fluoroazide 34 as an oil at $R_{f}=0.59$ ( $1: 1$ ether/hexanes) and $62 \mathrm{mg}(31 \%)$ of starting material 11 at $R_{f}=0.69$; after two column separations, for 34 : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.35-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $\left.60.9,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 4.31\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.1,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 3.85(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=13.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.1,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ ), $3.00\left(\mathrm{dt}, J=9.0,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.96(\mathrm{ddt}, J=7.1,4.7,1.2$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.85\left(\mathrm{ddd}, J=9.1,3.7,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3^{\prime}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 138.0,128.5,128.4$ and 127.4, $99.6\left(J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=\right.$ $\left.220.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 67.7\left(J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 66.3\left(J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=18.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 58.7$, $52.1\left(J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 48.4\left(J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=17.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR $(282 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-216.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=60.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$; HRMS $m / z 233.1202$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{FN}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$ 233.1202.
$N$-Benzyl-6-anti-amino-5-anti-fluoro-2-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexane (35). To a solution of fluoroazide $34(70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.301 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene ( 20 mL ) and water ( 2.5 mL ) was added triphenylphosphine ( $166 \mathrm{mg}, 0.633 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was heated to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h . After cooling to rt the organic layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with methylene chloride ( $2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Filtration, removal of solvent, and purification by flash chromatography ( $1-10 \%$ methanol in methylene chloride) afforded $53 \mathrm{mg}(85 \%)$ of fluoroamine 35 at $R_{f}=0.40\left(1: 9 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.40-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.27\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=62.3,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 3.83(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=13.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 3.20\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 2.89-2.83\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$, 2.68 (ddt, $\left.J=7.1,5.2,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.20\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 138.6, 128.4, 128.2 and 127.0, 101.9 $\left(J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=212.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 67.7\left(J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 63.8\left(J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $58.8,52.9\left(J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 50.3\left(J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F} \mathrm{NMR}$ ( $282 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-213.55$ (brd, $J=63.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); HRMS $m / z$ 207.1301, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{FN}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) 207.1298$.

N-Benzyl-6-anti-acetamido-5-anti-fluoro-2-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexane (36). DMAP ( $44 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3636 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the solution of fluoroamine 35 ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1212 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry methylene chloride $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ under argon. The resulting solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and acetyl chloride ( $26 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.3636$ ) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was allowed to rt and stirred for 3 h . The reaction mixture was then diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(7 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed with water $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Filtration, removal of solvent and purification by preparative thin layer chromatography ( $1: 9 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) afforded $19 \mathrm{mg}(63 \%)$ of fluoroacetamide $\mathbf{3 6}$ at $R_{f}=0.54(1: 9 \mathrm{MeOH} /$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.41-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.54$ (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), $5.34\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=62.5,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 4.79(\mathrm{ddd}, J=9.3$, $\left.7.4,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 3.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=13.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.33\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.1,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 3.07(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=$ $\left.9.1, \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.85\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{dd}, J=9.1,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3^{\prime}}\right), 2.78(\mathrm{ddt}, J=$ 7.1, $\left.5.7,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 170.2,138.1,128.6,128.4$ and $127.3,101.8\left(J_{\mathrm{C} . \mathrm{F}}=209.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)$, $67.0\left(J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=18.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 58.8,58.6\left(J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 52.5\left(J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $49.2\left(J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 23.7 ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR $\left(282 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-211.80$ (brd, $J=63.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); HRMS $m / z 249.1414$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$ 249.1403.

N-Benzyl-5-anti-fluoro-6-anti-phenylthio-2-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexane (37). According to method B , to a solution of bromofluoride $11(26 \mathrm{mg}, 0.096 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMSO $(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{NaSPh}(38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.289 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the reaction mixture was maintained at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 9 days under argon. The usual workup and chromatography (prep TLC, 1:3 ether/hexanes) afforded fluoro(phenylthio) ether $37(20 \mathrm{mg}, 69 \%)$ at $R_{f}=0.26$ (1:3 ether/ hexanes) as a light orange-colored oil; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.36-7.18(\mathrm{br}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 5.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=61.8,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 3.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88-3.84\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 3.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.8,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 3.11(\mathrm{dt}, J=9.0$, $\left.1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 3.01\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.78(\mathrm{ddd}, J=9.0,3.9,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3^{\prime}}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 138.2$, 129.1, 129.0 , 128.5, 128.4, 127.3, 126.1, 99.9 (d, $J=216.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 68.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 59.9,54.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 53.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 50.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $282 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-210.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=62.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$; HRMS $m / z 300.1226$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19}$ FNS $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) 300.1217$.
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